




- WHEN MORE THAN ONE BIB EXISTS FOR THE SAME EXACT TITLE/ITEM
- THIS IS A COMMON PROBLEM IN CONSORTIA ENVIRONMENTS
- IT HAPPENS VIA:
 - RECORD LOADING
 - MULTIPLE TYPES OF RECORDS (SKYRIVER, OCLC, DLC, VENDOR BIBS)
 - OTHER UNDETERMINED REASONS

- ISSUE 1:
 - THEY ARE A PROBLEM IN DISCOVERABILITY FOR STAFF:




- ISSUE 1:
 - THEY ARE A PROBLEM IN HOLDS FOR PATRONS
 - SEE FOLLOWING SLIDES




DUPLICATE HOLDS PROBLEM


• IN THE OPAC, IT SHOWS THAT IT IS HERE BUT ALSO THAT THERE ARE 3 PEOPLE WAITING FOR THE ITEM






IN SIERRA, THERE ARE TWO RECORDS, THE FIRST ONE HAS THE HOLDS ATTACHED:





DUPLICATE HOLDS PROBLEM

 THE OTHER BIB RECORD HAS ITEMS FREE FOR LENDING. BECAUSE THESE TWO RECORDS ARE NOT MERGED, THE 3 PATRONS WAITING ON THE FIRST BIB WILL NEVER GET THE AVAILABLE ITEMS ON THE SECOND BIB



- ISSUE 3: THEY ARE EXPENSIVE FOR THE CONSORTIA LIBRARIES
 - EXAMPLE: THE LTI AUTHORITY PROJECT FROM THIS SUMMER
 - LTI PRICE QUOTE WAS BASED OFF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BIBS BEING SENT
 - A NUMBER OF THOSE BIBS WERE DELETED THROUGHOUT THE SUMMER TROUGH THE DE-DUPING PROCESS
 - LTI STILL PERFORMED AUTHORITY CONTROL ON THOSE BIBS
 - WE PAID FOR AUTHORITY WORK ON NOW DELETED BIBS
 - MANY SERVICES ARE BASED OFF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BIBS, LTI IS JUST ONE EXAMPLE

- ISSUE 4: THEY ARE EXPENSIVE IN STAFF TIME
 - THE AMOUNT OF TIME IT TAKES FOR A STAFF MEMBER TO DE-DUP IS EXTENSIVE.
 - A MANUAL PROCESS, ITEM-BY-ITEM VIA STAFF IS REQUIRED, AS THE HEADINGS REPORTS DO NOT WORK FULLY
 - EXAMPLE:
 - THE DUPLICATE FROM SLIDE 3 (TITLE: COWBOYS ARE MY WEAKNESS) DID NOT SHOW UP ON MY HEADINGS REPORT



HOW DO DUPLICATES HAPPEN?

• MARMOT AND THE DUPLICATES SUBCOMMITTEE IS STILL TRYING TO INVESTIGATE ALL THE DIFFERENT WAYS DUPLICATES HAPPEN.

- REASONS FOR DUPES
 - VIA ACQUISITIONS OVERLAY SEE THE ACQUISITIONS MACRO DEMONSTRATED BY AMY SHIPLEY
 - VIA OCLC VS. SKYRIVER VS. DLC AND THE OCLC LOAD TABLE
 - VIA INSERT VS. REJECT OF NEW RECORDS
 - VIA DIFFERENT OCLC RECORDS

REASON 1- ACQUISITIONS OVERLAY


• SIERRA NEEDS TO PROPERLY OVERLAY THE BRIEF ORDER BIBS FROM VENDORS WITH THE FULL BIB UPON RECEIVING.

- SOLUTION: TELLURIDE HAS DEVELOPED A MACRO IN MARCEDIT THAT IS IN USE BY VARIOUS LIBRARIES TO PROPERLY IDENTIFY AND OVERLAY THE BRIEF ORDER BIBS
 - THIS WILL BE DEMOED BY AMY SHIPLEY

REASON 2: SKYRIVER VS. DLC VS. OCLC RECORDS

- THE OCLC LOAD TABLE, WHICH IS USED BY MOST OCLC MEMBER LIBRARIES, MATCHES ON OCLC RECORD NUMBER IN THE 001
- SKYRIVER, VENDOR RECORDS AND DLC OBVIOUSLY DOES NOT HAVE A MATCHING OCLC RECORD NUMBER
- THEREFORE, THE LOAD TABLE DOES NOT FIND A MATCH, IT INSERTS A NEW BIB

EXAMPLE OF OCLC VS. DLC DUPLICATES






REASON 3: INSERT VS. REJECT

- MANY OF THE LOAD TABLES HAVE A SETTING THAT SAYS IF <u>MORE THAN ONE</u> RECORD MATCHES ON THE MATCH POINT, INSERT ANOTHER RECORD AS OPPOSED TO REJECT.
 - WHEN MORE THAN ONE RECORD ALREADY EXISTS (2 MATCHING OCLC RECORD BIBS) THE SYSTEM WILL ADD A 3RD, 4TH, 5TH, ETC. RATHER THAN REJECTING THE RECORD

REASON 4: DIFFERENT OCLC RECORDS FOR THE SAME ITEM





MHAT DO ME DOS

 MARMOT AND THE DUPLICATES SUBCOMMITTEE ARE STILL TRYING TO DETERMINE HOW TO MINIMIZE THE DUPLICATES

- SOME SOLUTIONS INCLUDE:
 - USE OF THE TELLURIDE MACRO FOR ACQUISITIONS LIBRARIES
 - CHANGE LOAD TABLES TO REJECT INSTEAD OF INSERT ON MULTIPLE MATCHES
 - ASK OCLC LIBRARIES TO ATTEMPT TO USE THE OCLC RECORD USED BY OTHER OCLC LIBRARIES IF THE BIB IS AN EXACT MATCH
 - OPEN DISCUSSION ON WHAT TO DO WITH THE 001 MATCH POINT REQUIREMENT FOR THE OCLC RECORD LOADS



QUESTIONS

