## Marmot Union Cataloging Committee Wednesday, October 26, 2016 Minutes

X	Jamie Walker [Chair]	CMU		Betsey Dick	MCPLD
	Mary Walsh & Nicole Trujillo	Adams State	X	Shelly Fratzke	SD#51
	Gail Owens	Basalt	X	Wendi Weinstein & Judy Poe, Charlene	Pine River
				Swanson	
X	Alyssa Selby & Nina Darlington	Bud Werner	X	Carol McArdell	PCL
	Gail Nottingham & Charlene	BVPL		Debbie Barnes	Rampart LD
	Walker				
X	Karen Neville	CCU		Becky Nelson & Kristin Trombo	Salida
X	Amy Currier	CMC Glenwood	X	Sarah Nordholm	Summit Co
	Chris Bockstiegel	CMC Leadville		Jo Norris	VPL
	Jonathan Beam	CMC Steamboat	X	Nicole Becwar	WSCU
	Diane Levin & Jolanda Durbin	EVLD	X	Amy Sieving, Alison Farnham	WPL
X	Selene Gardner	EPL	X	Nancy Lindwedel	Marmot
X	Martha Talman & Julie Housknecht	FLC	X	Lloyd Chittenden	Marmot
X	Amy Shipley	Garfield Co	X	Brandon Cole	Marmot
	Sarah Greenberg	Grand Co		Mark Noble	Marmot
	Jennifer Hillebrandt	Gunnison		Jimmy Thomas	Marmot

**Announcements: None** 

## **Action Items:**

Completed

	Action	Report
•	written	Committee edited the policy proposal, and approved it to be sent to the ASC. The approved policy is included at the end of this document.
•		Amy tested this in the meeting, and we confirmed that she is no longer able to edit review files owned by others.

**Ongoing** 

Ongoing		
Action		Report
Develop project plan for adding 001 # prefix to OCLC numbers	Lloyd	Lloyd and Brandon will develop a project plan for this. It is pointed out that we need to check what effect this would have on Prospector.
Investigate a tool for comparing our 001 numbers to those found in Worldcat.org to find our bad 001 numbers	Lloyd	Lloyd will pursue this. Pascal thinks it will be easy.
Unsuppress bib records remaining in lists 19 and 27	Lloyd	A question arose on this. How does Pika handle a bib when all the items are suppressed? Does it display an orphan bib like classic does? What does Prospector do with these? If they do leave orphan bibs, Shelley wants to be able to leave things suppressed at the bib level.
From Duplicate Committee: produce 'how-to' documentation on deduping -	Lloyd, Nancy, Tammy	Lloyd, Nancy and Tammy will meet to develop this documentation
From Duplicate Committee: develop a tool to find duplicates within and between the 001 and 019 fields	Lloyd, JB	Lloyd will work with JB on this
I-Type reorg proposal	Brandon	On backburner due to III's impending increase to the number of I-Types allowed, probably in Q1 2017. This change will allow us to have a very different I-Type structure, so we will hold off until then.

Find old cataloging documentation, update it, and get it online	Lloyd	No update
Investigate how we can take advantage of OCLC numbers in 035 of SkyRiver records	Lloyd	No update
Find out about possibility of OCLC reclamation project. To what extent it would resolve our issue of records with no 001. How many Marmot libraries are due a free reclamation? Price of full catalog reclamation.	Jimmy	Brandon says we need to determine who has a free reclamation and is willing to use it for this, then determine cost for the remainder.
Investigate possible re-indexing project. What else should be re-indexed? 019 and 079 are in the ISBN index and probably should not be. Look through old UCC minutes for any reference to indexing, and start a wiki page to keep track of re-indexing needs.	Lloyd	No update
Experiment with what might be getting missed by the Headings Report duplicate check	Lloyd	Amy Shipley thinks that headings reports are not picking up new dups. It appears to be finding very few dups overall, and missing new ones. She frequently finds dups that headings reports does not pick up. Lloyd will investigate.
Check with Sky River users about using correct loader	Lloyd	All SR users who have responded are using the correct SR loaders. Some have not responded yet. Lloyd will follow up.
Look for an automated process to fix the 001 & 019 duplicates in list 58	001 Subcom.	No Update
Evaluate authority RFQs.	Lloyd, Brandon, Julie, Jamie	We had a phone meeting w/LTI to find out why they cost more than the competitors. They explained that they do a lot of improvements to the authority data to go beyond the basic NAF that the others provide.  The next step will be to create a sample file of 10,000 records to send to each vendor. LTI suggested that we send 10,000 and give a short deadline, so vendors won't be able to manually clean up their returned records.

## **Discussion topics**

- Sierra upgrade to 2.4
  - Release notes were sent out. Nobody had any opposition to running the update soon.
- Templates with fixed Cat Dates
  - Lloyd noticed that these templates were set up to create a fixed CAT DATE for records they create:

Template	Fixed date
cmlcerclac	9/4/2006
CMLEPA	1/14/2010
cmlresbr	11/17/2004
cmsaudio	12/9/2011

emilysupho	5/28/2008
garcooral	1/9/2014
gcdvd	1/9/2014
mavideobib	1/9/2014
ohcd	9/22/2015
pcebkt	6/6/2012
pcebknf	6/6/2012
pclhp	6/3/2013
suglass	5/28/2008

• It is very rare to actually want a template to create a fixed CAT DATE. Usually they should either leave it blank or put in today's date. These templates were probably mistakes. Everyone should check theirs and probably fix them or delete them.

Next Duplicates Sub-committee meeting: Nov 9, 2016 9-10am MT

Next meeting: Wed., Nov 23, 2016, 9-11am MT

UCC approved Marmot policy on large review files:

There is a need to control use of review files 25,000 records and larger. When members keep small files in large buckets it can make it difficult for others to find a bucket large enough for their need. If anyone mistakenly creates a small file in a large bucket, please copy the file into a correctly sized bucket and delete the file from the large bucket. Marmot staff will regularly move any small files found in large buckets to correctly sized buckets if they are more than one day old. Marmot staff will also seek to resolve questionable lists in the larger buckets.

If someone has a special circumstance that requires them to keep a small file in a large bucket, they can claim ownership of the review file and Marmot staff will leave the file there.